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Shaft Design

- Material Selection
- Geometric Layout
- Stress and strength
  - Static strength
  - Fatigue strength
- Deflection and rigidity
  - Bending deflection
  - Torsional deflection
  - Slope at bearings and shaft-supported elements
  - Shear deflection due to transverse loading of short shafts
- Vibration due to natural frequency
Shaft Materials

- Deflection primarily controlled by geometry, not material
- Stress controlled by geometry, not material
- Strength controlled by material property
Shaft Materials

- Shafts are commonly made from low carbon, CD or HR steel, such as AISI 1020–1050 steels.
- Fatigue properties don’t usually benefit much from high alloy content and heat treatment.
- Surface hardening usually only used when the shaft is being used as a bearing surface.
Shaft Materials

- Cold drawn steel typical for $d < 3$ in.
- HR steel common for larger sizes. Should be machined all over.

Low production quantities
  - Lathe machining is typical
  - Minimum material removal may be design goal

High production quantities
  - Forming or casting is common
  - Minimum material may be design goal
Shaft Layout

- Issues to consider for shaft layout
  - Axial layout of components
  - Supporting axial loads
  - Providing for torque transmission
  - Assembly and Disassembly

Fig. 7–1
Axial Layout of Components

Fig. 7–2
Supporting Axial Loads

- Axial loads must be supported through a bearing to the frame.
- Generally best for only one bearing to carry axial load to shoulder
- Allows greater tolerances and prevents binding
Providing for Torque Transmission

- Common means of transferring torque to shaft
  - Keys
  - Splines
  - Setscrews
  - Pins
  - Press or shrink fits
  - Tapered fits

- Keys are one of the most effective
  - Slip fit of component onto shaft for easy assembly
  - Positive angular orientation of component
  - Can design key to be weakest link to fail in case of overload
Fig. 7–5

Fig. 7–6
Assembly and Disassembly

Fig. 7–7

Fig. 7–8
Shaft Design for Stress

- Stresses are only evaluated at critical locations
- Critical locations are usually
  - On the outer surface
  - Where the bending moment is large
  - Where the torque is present
  - Where stress concentrations exist
Shaft Stresses

- Standard stress equations can be customized for shafts for convenience
- Axial loads are generally small and constant, so will be ignored in this section
- Standard alternating and midrange stresses
  \[ \sigma_a = K_f \frac{M_{ac}}{I} \quad \sigma_m = K_f \frac{M_{mc}}{I} \quad (7-1) \]
  \[ \tau_a = K_{fs} \frac{T_{ar}}{J} \quad \tau_m = K_{fs} \frac{T_{mr}}{J} \quad (7-2) \]
- Customized for round shafts
  \[ \sigma_a = K_f \frac{32M_a}{\pi d^3} \quad \sigma_m = K_f \frac{32M_m}{\pi d^3} \quad (7-3) \]
  \[ \tau_a = K_{fs} \frac{16T_a}{\pi d^3} \quad \tau_m = K_{fs} \frac{16T_m}{\pi d^3} \quad (7-4) \]
Shaft Stresses

- Combine stresses into von Mises stresses

\[
\sigma'_a = (\sigma_a^2 + 3\tau_a^2)^{1/2} = \left[ \left( \frac{32K_f M_a}{\pi d^3} \right)^2 + 3 \left( \frac{16K_{fs} T_a}{\pi d^3} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \tag{7-5}
\]

\[
\sigma'_m = (\sigma_m^2 + 3\tau_m^2)^{1/2} = \left[ \left( \frac{32K_f M_m}{\pi d^3} \right)^2 + 3 \left( \frac{16K_{fs} T_m}{\pi d^3} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \tag{7-6}
\]
Shaft Stresses

- Substitute von Mises stresses into failure criteria equation. For example, using modified Goodman line,

\[
\frac{1}{n} = \frac{\sigma'_a}{S_e} + \frac{\sigma'_m}{S_{ut}}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{n} = \frac{16}{\pi d^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{S_e} \left[ 4(K_f M_a)^2 + 3(K_{fs} T_a)^2 \right]^{1/2} + \frac{1}{S_{ut}} \left[ 4(K_f M_m)^2 + 3(K_{fs} T_m)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\}
\]

(7–7)

- Solving for \( d \) is convenient for design purposes

\[
d = \left( \frac{16n}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{S_e} \left[ 4(K_f M_a)^2 + 3(K_{fs} T_a)^2 \right]^{1/2}
\right.
\]

\[
\left. + \frac{1}{S_{ut}} \left[ 4(K_f M_m)^2 + 3(K_{fs} T_m)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\} \right)^{1/3}
\]

(7–8)
Shaft Stresses

- Similar approach can be taken with any of the fatigue failure criteria.
- Equations are referred to by referencing both the Distortion Energy method of combining stresses and the fatigue failure locus name. For example, \textit{DE-Goodman}, \textit{DE-Gerber}, etc.
- In analysis situation, can either use these customized equations for factor of safety, or can use standard approach from Ch. 6.
- In design situation, customized equations for $d$ are much more convenient.
Shaft Stresses

- **DE-Gerber**

\[
\frac{1}{n} = \frac{8A}{\pi d^3 S_e} \left\{ 1 + \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{2BS_e}{AS_{ut}} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\}
\]

\[
d = \left( \frac{8nA}{\pi S_e} \left\{ 1 + \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{2BS_e}{AS_{ut}} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\} \right)^{1/3}
\]

where

\[
A = \sqrt{4(K_f M_a)^2 + 3(K_f s T_a)^2}
\]

\[
B = \sqrt{4(K_f M_m)^2 + 3(K_f s T_m)^2}
\]
Shaft Stresses

- **DE-ASME Elliptic**

\[
\frac{1}{n} = \frac{16}{\pi d^3} \left[ 4 \left( \frac{K_f M_a}{S_e} \right)^2 + 3 \left( \frac{K_{fs} T_a}{S_e} \right)^2 + 4 \left( \frac{K_f M_m}{S_y} \right)^2 + 3 \left( \frac{K_{fs} T_m}{S_y} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}
\]

\( (7-11) \)

\[
d = \left\{ \frac{16n}{\pi} \left[ 4 \left( \frac{K_f M_a}{S_e} \right)^2 + 3 \left( \frac{K_{fs} T_a}{S_e} \right)^2 + 4 \left( \frac{K_f M_m}{S_y} \right)^2 + 3 \left( \frac{K_{fs} T_m}{S_y} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\}^{1/3}
\]

\( (7-12) \)

- **DE-Soderberg**

\[
\frac{1}{n} = \frac{16}{\pi d^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{S_e} [4(K_f M_a)^2 + 3(K_{fs} T_a)^2]^{1/2} + \frac{1}{S_y} [4(K_f M_m)^2 + 3(K_{fs} T_m)^2]^{1/2} \right\}
\]

\( (7-13) \)

\[
d = \left( \frac{16n}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{S_e} [4(K_f M_a)^2 + 3(K_{fs} T_a)^2]^{1/2} + \frac{1}{S_y} [4(K_f M_m)^2 + 3(K_{fs} T_m)^2]^{1/2} \right\} \right)^{1/3}
\]

\( (7-14) \)
Shaft Stresses for Rotating Shaft

- For rotating shaft with steady bending and torsion
  - Bending stress is completely reversed, since a stress element on the surface cycles from equal tension to compression during each rotation
  - Torsional stress is steady
  - Previous equations simplify with $M_m$ and $T_a$ equal to 0
Checking for Yielding in Shafts

- Always necessary to consider static failure, even in fatigue situation
- Soderberg criteria inherently guards against yielding
- ASME-Elliptic criteria takes yielding into account, but is not entirely conservative
- Gerber and modified Goodman criteria require specific check for yielding
Checking for Yielding in Shafts

- Use von Mises maximum stress to check for yielding,

\[ \sigma'_{\text{max}} = \left[ (\sigma_m + \sigma_a)^2 + 3 (\tau_m + \tau_a)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]

\[ = \left[ \left( \frac{32K_f (M_m + M_a)}{\pi d^3} \right)^2 + 3 \left( \frac{16K_{fs} (T_m + T_a)}{\pi d^3} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]

(7–15)

\[ n_y = \frac{S_y}{\sigma'_{\text{max}}} \]

(7–16)

- Alternate simple check is to obtain conservative estimate of \( \sigma'_{\text{max}} \) by summing \( \sigma'_a \) and \( \sigma'_m \)

\[ \sigma'_{\text{max}} \approx \sigma'_a + \sigma'_m \]
Example 7–1

At a machined shaft shoulder the small diameter $d$ is 28 mm, the large diameter $D$ is 42 mm, and the fillet radius is 2.8 mm. The bending moment is 142.4 N·m and the steady torsion moment is 124.3 N·m. The heat-treated steel shaft has an ultimate strength of $S_{ut} = 735$ MPa and a yield strength of $S_y = 574$ MPa. The reliability goal is 0.99.

(a) Determine the fatigue factor of safety of the design using each of the fatigue failure criteria described in this section.

(b) Determine the yielding factor of safety.
## Example 7–1 (continued)

| Solution |  
|----------|-------|
| (a) $D/d = 42/28 = 1.50$, $r/d = 2.8/28 = 0.10$, $K_t = 1.68$ (Fig. A–15–9), $K_{ts} = 1.42$ (Fig. A–15–8), $q = 0.85$ (Fig. 6–20), $q_{shear} = 0.92$ (Fig. 6–21). |

From Eq. (6–32),

\[
K_f = 1 + 0.85(1.68 - 1) = 1.58
\]

\[
K_{fs} = 1 + 0.92(1.42 - 1) = 1.39
\]

Eq. (6–8):

\[
S_e' = 0.5(735) = 367.5 \text{ MPa}
\]

Eq. (6–19):

\[
k_d = 4.51(735)^{-0.265} = 0.787
\]

Eq. (6–20):

\[
k_b = \left( \frac{28}{7.62} \right)^{-0.107} = 0.870
\]

\[
k_c = k_d = k_f = 1
\]

Table 6–6:

\[
k_e = 0.814
\]

\[
S_e = 0.787(0.870)0.814(367.5) = 205 \text{ MPa}
\]
Example 7–1 (continued)

For a rotating shaft, the constant bending moment will create a completely reversed bending stress.

\[ M_a = 142.4 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \quad T_m = 124.3 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \quad M_m = T_a = 0 \]

Applying Eq. (7–7) for the DE-Goodman criteria gives

\[ \frac{1}{n} = \frac{16}{\pi (0.028)^3} \left\{ \frac{[4 (1.58 \cdot 142.4)^2]^{1/2}}{205 \times 10^6} + \frac{[3 (1.39 \cdot 124.3)^2]^{1/2}}{735 \times 10^6} \right\} = 0.615 \]

Answer \( n = 1.62 \) DE-Goodman

Similarly, applying Eqs. (7–9), (7–11), and (7–13) for the other failure criteria,

Answer \( n = 1.87 \) DE-Gerber

Answer \( n = 1.88 \) DE-ASME Elliptic

Answer \( n = 1.56 \) DE-Soderberg
Example 7–1 (continued)

For comparison, consider an equivalent approach of calculating the stresses and applying the fatigue failure criteria directly. From Eqs. (7–5) and (7–6),

\[
\sigma_a' = \left[ \left( \frac{32 \cdot 1.58 \cdot 142.4}{\pi (0.028)^3} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} = 104.4 \text{ MPa}
\]

\[
\sigma_m' = \left[ 3 \left( \frac{16 \cdot 1.39 \cdot 124.3}{\pi (0.028)^3} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} = 69.4 \text{ MPa}
\]

Taking, for example, the Goodman failure criteria, application of Eq. (6–46) gives

\[
\frac{1}{n} = \frac{\sigma_a'}{S_e} + \frac{\sigma_m'}{S_{ut}} = \frac{104.4}{205} + \frac{69.4}{735} = 0.604
\]

\[
n = 1.62
\]

which is identical with the previous result. The same process could be used for the other failure criteria.
Example 7–1 (continued)

(b) For the yielding factor of safety, determine an equivalent von Mises maximum stress using Eq. (7–15).

\[
\sigma'_{\text{max}} = \left[ \left( \frac{32(1.58)(142.4)}{\pi (0.028)^3} \right)^2 + 3 \left( \frac{16(1.39)(124.3)}{\pi (0.028)^3} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} = 125.4 \text{ MPa}
\]

Answer

\[
n_y = \frac{S_y}{\sigma'_{\text{max}}} = \frac{574}{125.4} = 4.58
\]

For comparison, a quick and very conservative check on yielding can be obtained by replacing \( \sigma'_{\text{max}} \) with \( \sigma'_a + \sigma'_m \). This just saves the extra time of calculating \( \sigma'_{\text{max}} \) if \( \sigma'_a \) and \( \sigma'_m \) have already been determined. For this example,

\[
n_y = \frac{S_y}{\sigma'_a + \sigma'_m} = \frac{574}{104.4 + 69.4} = 3.3
\]

which is quite conservative compared with \( n_y = 4.58 \).
Estimating Stress Concentrations

- Stress analysis for shafts is highly dependent on stress concentrations.
- Stress concentrations depend on size specifications, which are not known the first time through a design process.
- Standard shaft elements such as shoulders and keys have standard proportions, making it possible to estimate stress concentrations factors before determining actual sizes.
Estimating Stress Concentrations

**Table 7-1**

First Iteration Estimates for Stress-Concentration Factors $K_t$ and $K_{ts}$.

*Warning:* These factors are only estimates for use when actual dimensions are not yet determined. Do *not* use these once actual dimensions are available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bending</th>
<th>Torsional</th>
<th>Axial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder fillet—sharp ($r/d = 0.02$)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder fillet—well rounded ($r/d = 0.1$)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-mill keyseat ($r/d = 0.02$)</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sled runner keyseat</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retaining ring groove</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Missing values in the table are not readily available.
Reducing Stress Concentration at Shoulder Fillet

- Bearings often require relatively sharp fillet radius at shoulder
- If such a shoulder is the location of the critical stress, some manufacturing techniques are available to reduce the stress concentration
  - (a) Large radius undercut into shoulder
  - (b) Large radius relief groove into back of shoulder
  - (c) Large radius relief groove into small diameter of shaft

Fig. 7–9
Example 7–2

This example problem is part of a larger case study. See Chap. 18 for the full context.

A double reduction gearbox design has developed to the point that the general layout and axial dimensions of the countershaft carrying two spur gears has been proposed, as shown in Fig. 7–10. The gears and bearings are located and supported by shoulders, and held in place by retaining rings. The gears transmit torque through keys. Gears have been specified as shown, allowing the tangential and radial forces transmitted through the gears to the shaft to be determined as follows.

\[
W_{23}^t = 540 \text{ lbf} \quad W_{54}^t = 2431 \text{ lbf}
\]

\[
W_{23}^r = 197 \text{ lbf} \quad W_{54}^r = 885 \text{ lbf}
\]

where the superscripts \( t \) and \( r \) represent tangential and radial directions, respectively; and, the subscripts 23 and 54 represent the forces exerted by gears 2 and 5 (not shown) on gears 3 and 4, respectively.

Proceed with the next phase of the design, in which a suitable material is selected, and appropriate diameters for each section of the shaft are estimated, based on providing sufficient fatigue and static stress capacity for infinite life of the shaft, with minimum safety factors of 1.5.
Solution
Perform free body diagram analysis to get reaction forces at the bearings.

\[ R_{Az} = 422 \text{ N} \]
\[ R_{Ay} = 1439 \text{ N} \]
\[ R_{Bz} = 8822 \text{ N} \]
\[ R_{By} = 3331 \text{ N} \]

From \( \Sigma M_x \), find the torque in the shaft between the gears,
\[ T = W'_{23} \left( d_{3/2} \right) = 2400 \left( 0.3/2 \right) = 360 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \].
Generate shear-moment diagrams for two planes.
Example 7–2 (continued)

Combine orthogonal planes as vectors to get total moments, e.g., at \( J, \sqrt{485^2 + 183^2} \)
\[ = 518 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}. \]

Start with point \( I \), where the bending moment is high, there is a stress concentration at the shoulder, and the torque is present.

At \( I, M_a = 468 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}, T_m = 360 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}, M_m = T_a = 0 \)

Assume generous fillet radius for gear at \( I \).

From Table 7-1, estimate \( K_r = 1.7, K_{ts} = 1.5 \). For quick, conservative first pass, assume \( K_f = K_r, K_{fs} = K_{ts} \).
Choose inexpensive steel, 1020 CD, with $S_{ut} = 469$ MPa. For $S_e$,

Eq. (6–19) \[ k_a = a S_{ut}^b = 4.51 (469)^{-0.265} = 0.883 \]

Guess $k_b = 0.9$. Check later when $d$ is known.

\[ k_c = k_d = k_e = 1 \]

Eq. (6–18) \[ S_e = (0.883)(0.9)(0.5)(469) = 186 \text{ MPa} \]

For first estimate of the small diameter at the shoulder at point $I$, use the DE-Goodman criterion of Eq. (7–8). This criterion is good for the initial design, since it is simple and conservative. With $M_m = T_a = 0$, Eq. (7–8) reduces to

\[
\begin{aligned}
d &= \left\{ \frac{16n}{\pi} \left( \frac{2(K_f M_a)}{S_e} + \frac{[3(K_f T_m)^2]^{1/2}}{S_{ut}} \right) \right\}^{1/3} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{16(1.5)}{\pi} \left( \frac{2(1.7)(468)}{186 \times 10^6} + \frac{[3(1.5)(360)]^2}{469 \times 10^6} \right) \right\}^{1/3} \\
&= 0.0432 \text{ m} = 43.2 \text{ mm} 
\end{aligned}
\]

All estimates have probably been conservative, so select the next standard size below 43.2 mm and check, $d = 42$ mm.
Example 7–2 (continued)

A typical $D/d$ ratio for support at a shoulder is $D/d = 1.2$, thus, $D = 1.2 \times 42 = 50.4$ mm. Use $D = 50$ mm. A nominal 50-mm cold-drawn shaft diameter can be used. Check if estimates were acceptable.

$$D/d = \frac{50}{42} = 1.19$$

Assume fillet radius $r = \frac{d}{10} \approx 4$ mm, $r/d = 0.1$

$K_t = 1.6$ (Fig. A–15–9), $q = 0.82$ (Fig. 6–20)

Eq. (6–32) $K_f = 1 + 0.82(1.6 - 1) = 1.49$

$K_{rs} = 1.35$ (Fig. A–15–8), $q_s = 0.95$ (Fig. 6–21)

$K_{fs} = 1 + 0.95(1.35 - 1) = 1.33$

$k_a = 0.883$ (no change)

Eq. (6–20) $k_b = \left( \frac{42}{7.62} \right)^{-0.107} = 0.833$

$S_e = (0.883)(0.833)(0.5)(469) = 172$ MPa

Eq. (7–5) $\sigma'_a = \frac{32K_fM_a}{\pi d^3} = \frac{32(1.49)(468)}{\pi(0.042)^3} = 96$ MPa
Example 7–2 (continued)

\[ \sigma_m' = \left[ 3 \left( \frac{16K_f T_m}{\pi d^3} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{3}(16)(1.33)(360)}{\pi(0.042)^3} = 57 \text{ MPa} \]

Using Goodman criterion

\[ \frac{1}{n_f} = \frac{\sigma_m'}{S_e} + \frac{\sigma_a'}{S_{ut}} = \frac{96}{172} + \frac{57}{469} = 0.68 \]

\[ n_f = 1.47 \]

Note that we could have used Eq. (7-7) directly.

Check yielding.

\[ n_y = \frac{S_y}{\sigma_{max}} > \frac{S_y}{\sigma_a' + \sigma_m'} = \frac{363}{96 + 57} = 2.57 \]
Example 7–2 (continued)

Also check this diameter at the end of the keyway, just to the right of point I, and at the groove at point K. From moment diagram, estimate $M$ at end of keyway to be $M = 443 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}$

Assume the radius at the bottom of the keyway will be the standard $r/d = 0.02$, $r = 0.02$, $d = 0.02(42) = 0.84 \text{ mm}$

$$
K_f = 2.14 \text{ (Fig. A–15–18)}, \quad q = 0.65 \text{ (Fig. 6–20)}
$$

$$
K_f = 1 + 0.65(2.14 - 1) = 1.74
$$

$$
K_{ts} = 3.0 \text{ (Fig. A–15–19)}, \quad q_s = 0.9 \text{ (Fig. 6–21)}
$$

$$
K_{fs} = 1 + 0.9 (3 - 1) = 2.8
$$

$$
\sigma'_a = \frac{32K_f M_a}{\pi d^3} = \frac{32(1.74)(443)}{\pi (0.042)^3} = 106 \text{ MPa}
$$

$$
\sigma'_m = \sqrt{3}(16) \frac{K_{fs} T_m}{\pi d^3} = \frac{\sqrt{3}(16)(2.8)(443)}{\pi (0.042)^3} = 148 \text{ MPa}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{n_f} = \frac{\sigma'_a}{S_e} + \frac{\sigma'_m}{S_{ul}} = \frac{106}{172} + \frac{148}{469} = 0.93
$$

$$
n_f = 1.08
$$
The keyway turns out to be more critical than the shoulder. We can either increase the diameter or use a higher strength material. Unless the deflection analysis shows a need for larger diameters, let us choose to increase the strength. We started with a very low strength and can afford to increase it to avoid larger sizes. Try 1050 CD with $S_t = 69$ MPa.

Recalculate factors affected by $S_{ut}$, i.e., $k_a \rightarrow S_c$; $q \rightarrow K_f \rightarrow \sigma'_a$

$$k_a = 4.51(690)^{-0.265} = 0.797, \quad S_c = 0.797(0.833)(0.5)(690) = 229 \text{ MPa}$$

$$q = 0.72, \quad K_f = 1 + 0.72(2.14 - 1) = 1.82$$

$$\sigma'_a = \frac{32(1.82)(443)}{\pi(0.042)^3} = 110.8 \text{ MPa}$$

$$\frac{1}{n_f} = \frac{110.8}{229} + \frac{148}{690} = 0.7$$

$$n_f = 1.43$$

Since the Goodman criterion is conservative, we will accept this as close enough to the requested 1.5.

Check at the groove at $K$, since $K_t$ for flat-bottomed grooves are often very high. From the torque diagram, note that no torque is present at the groove. From the moment diagram, $M_a = 283 \text{ N\cdot m}$, $M_m = T_a = T_m = 0$. To quickly check if this location is potentially critical, just use $K_f = K_t = 5.0$ as an estimate, from Table 7-1.

$$\sigma_a = \frac{32K_fM_a}{\pi d^3} = \frac{32(5)(283)}{\pi(0.042)^3} = 194.5 \text{ MPa}$$

$$n_f = \frac{S_c}{\sigma_a} = \frac{229}{194.5} = 1.18$$
Example 7–2 (continued)

This is low. We will look up data for a specific retaining ring to obtain \( K_f \) more accurately. With a quick on-line search of a retaining ring specification using the website www.globalspec.com, appropriate groove specifications for a retaining ring for a shaft diameter of 42 mm are obtained as follows: width, \( a = 1.73 \) mm; depth, \( t = 1.22 \) mm; and corner radius at bottom of groove, \( r = 0.25 \) mm. From Fig. A-15-16, with \( r/t = 0.25/1.22 = 0.205 \), and \( a/t = 1.73/1.22 = 1.42 \)

\[
K_f = 4.3, q = 0.65 \text{ (Fig. 6–20)} \\
K_f = 1 + 0.65(4.3 - 1) = 3.15 \\
\sigma_a = \frac{32K_fM_a}{\pi d^3} = \frac{32(3.15)(283)}{\pi(0.042)^3} = 122.6 \text{ MPa} \\
n_f = \frac{S_e}{\sigma_a} = \frac{229}{122.6} = 1.87
\]
Example 7–2 (continued)

Quickly check if point $M$ might be critical. Only bending is present, and the moment is small, but the diameter is small and the stress concentration is high for a sharp fillet required for a bearing. From the moment diagram, $M_a = 113 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}$, and $M_m = T_m = T_a = 0$.

Estimate $K_t = 2.7$ from Table 7-1, $d = 25$ mm, and fillet radius $r$ to fit a typical bearing.

\[
r/d = 0.02, \quad r = 0.02(25) = 0.5
\]

\[
q = 0.7 \quad \text{(Fig. 6–20)}
\]

\[
K_f = 1 + (0.7)(2.7 - 1) = 2.19
\]

\[
\sigma_a = \frac{32K_fM_a}{\pi d^3} = \frac{32(2.19)(113)}{\pi(0.025)^3} = 161 \text{ MPa}
\]

\[
n_f = \frac{S_e}{\sigma_a} = \frac{229}{161} = 1.42
\]

This should be OK. It is close enough to recheck after the bearing is selected.
With the diameters specified for the critical locations, fill in trial values for the rest of the diameters, taking into account typical shoulder heights for bearing and gear support.

\[ D_1 = D_7 = 25 \text{ mm} \]
\[ D_2 = D_6 = 35 \text{ mm} \]
\[ D_3 = D_5 = 42 \text{ mm} \]
\[ D_4 = 50 \text{ mm} \]

The bending moments are much less on the left end of the shaft, so \( D_1, D_2, \) and \( D_3 \) could be smaller. However, unless weight is an issue, there is little advantage to requiring more material removal. Also, the extra rigidity may be needed to keep deflections small.